

Ethical Challenges at the Intersection of Consulting Services and Audit Clients: A Perspective through Agency Theory

OLEMIJA Temidayo Lawrence

Department of Accounting, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria

DOI: 10.56201/ijssmr.v10.no11.2024.pg.330.339

Abstract

This essay critically examines the ethical dimensions inherent in the intersection of consulting services and audit clients within the professional services domain. As auditors extend their offerings to encompass consulting, the concomitant rises in conflicts of interest and potential compromises to independence necessitate thorough exploration. Employing the theoretical framework of Agency Theory, which elucidates the dynamics of agency relationships, the essay systematically probes ethical challenges, particularly focusing on independence, objectivity, confidentiality, and professional skepticism. The Agency Theory lens unveils the intricate dynamics between auditors and clients, shedding light on the potential for moral hazard and information asymmetry. The implications derived from this theoretical foundation underscore the imperative to fortify independence policies, implement stringent confidentiality protocols, instigate continuous professional skepticism training, adopt transparent disclosure practices, and enhance regulatory oversight. The recommendations put forth advocate for proactive measures that can fortify ethical integrity within the auditing profession. Strengthened independence policies are essential in delineating clear boundaries between audits and consulting services, mitigating familiarity threats, and preserving auditor objectivity.

Introduction

As the landscape of professional services evolves, the coalescence of consulting services and audit clients emerges as a critical and intricate domain, demanding careful scrutiny of profound ethical considerations. This juncture is marked by auditors venturing beyond their traditional roles, expanding their service offerings to include consulting services (Beasley et al., 2020; Ajibola et al., 2024). While this diversification holds the promise of enriched client service, it concurrently raises the stakes regarding conflicts of interest and the potential compromise of independence. The progressive integration of consulting into the repertoire of auditing services intensifies the ethical dimensions of these professional engagements (Awotomilusi et al., 2024). The inherent tension manifests in the delicate balance auditors must strike between meeting immediate client needs through consulting services and maintaining the unwavering integrity and impartiality necessary for effective audit functions (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010). This essay aims to delve into the intricate web of ethical challenges that consultants face when engaged with audit clients, placing a particular emphasis on pivotal issues such as independence, objectivity, confidentiality, and professional skepticism (Bedard et al., 2018). At the core of these ethical complexities lies the

looming risk of conflicts of interest, a concern articulated by Davis and Ricchiute (2010). The concurrent provision of audit and consulting services to the same client amplifies the potential for conflicts that could undermine the independence and objectivity crucial to the audit process. This dual role requires auditors to navigate a challenging terrain, where addressing immediate client needs through consulting must coexist with maintaining the skepticism and impartiality mandated by auditing standards (Olorunsola et al., 2024). This essay seeks to explore the multifaceted ethical challenges encountered by consultants engaged with audit clients, with a particular focus on issues such as independence, objectivity, confidentiality, and professional skepticism.

Independence and Objectivity:

Independence serves as a bedrock principle in the auditing profession, ensuring auditors maintain impartiality and integrity in their assessments (AICPA, 2014). However, the introduction of consulting services by audit firms to their audit clients complicates the landscape, potentially compromising independence. The provision of consulting services establishes a financial relationship between the auditor and the client, creating a scenario where the auditor's judgment and objectivity may be influenced (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010; Carcello & Neal, 2020). The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) emphasizes the need for auditors to maintain independence in both fact and appearance, requiring not only actual independence but also the avoidance of situations that could raise questions about independence (AICPA, 2014). When audit firms engage in consulting for their audit clients, convincingly demonstrating this independence becomes challenging. Clients may perceive a lack of objectivity in the auditing process, eroding confidence in the credibility of financial statement assessments (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010; Ayorinde et al., 2024). Moreover, consulting engagements often involve advice on issues directly related to the audit, such as financial reporting practices or internal controls. In such instances, the consulting firm might find itself in a position where its recommendations could influence the audit opinion, raising concerns about objectivity (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010). Navigating these conflicts requires auditors to carefully manage their dual roles, ensuring that consulting services do not compromise their ability to provide an unbiased evaluation of financial statements (Akinola et al., 2024; Carcello et al., 2019).

Confidentiality and Professional Skepticism:

In the realm of providing consulting services to audit clients, confidentiality and professional skepticism emerge as critical ethical challenges. Confidentiality is a paramount ethical consideration for auditors, who are obligated to safeguard sensitive information obtained during the audit process (AICPA, 2014; Dare et al., 2022). However, when audit firms extend their services to consulting, they may access additional confidential information. For instance, consultants advising on strategic business decisions might gain insights into undisclosed aspects of the client's operations, financial performance, or future plans. This information must be handled cautiously to avoid any perception of bias or impropriety in the audit process (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010; Chadegani, 2021). Professional skepticism, a cornerstone of effective auditing, demands auditors approach their work with a questioning mindset and a healthy degree of skepticism (IFAC, 2020). Yet, when audit firms provide consulting services to audit clients, there's a risk that auditors

may become overly reliant on client-provided information or influenced by their relationship with management. This potential over-reliance poses a threat to the rigor and thoroughness of the audit process, potentially leading to oversights or misstatements in financial statements (IFAC, 2020; Wahab et al., 2022; Isaa & Siamb, 2020). Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced approach, where auditors must establish robust safeguards to maintain confidentiality, manage potential conflicts, and uphold the principles of professional skepticism. Striking the right balance ensures that the integration of consulting services does not compromise the integrity and effectiveness of the audit function, fostering trust in financial reporting processes (Efuntade et al., 2021; Hundal, 2021).

Mitigating Ethical Challenges

To mitigate the ethical challenges associated with providing consulting services to audit clients, audit firms must implement robust safeguards and controls. These may include:

1. Independence Policies:

Audit firms must establish robust policies and procedures to safeguard auditor independence when providing consulting services to audit clients. This is critical to uphold the integrity of the audit process and mitigate the risks associated with potential conflicts of interest. Policies may involve restricting the types of consulting services offered to audit clients or implementing rotation policies to address familiarity threats (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010; Tabash et al., 2021). The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) emphasizes the importance of maintaining independence in both fact and appearance (AICPA, 2014). Independence policies should, therefore, address not only the actual independence of auditors but also situations that could create perceptions of compromised independence. By defining clear boundaries on the types of consulting services permissible for audit clients, firms can minimize the risk of impairment to auditor independence (Defond & Zhang, 2018). Rotation policies, where auditors involved in consulting engagements are periodically rotated, help mitigate familiarity threats. This practice reduces the risk of auditors becoming too closely aligned with the interests of the audit client, preserving their independence and objectivity. Implementing and enforcing these policies are essential to demonstrating a commitment to maintaining high ethical standards in professional practice (Akinola et al., 2021; Deli & Gillan, 2020).

2. Confidentiality Protocols:

Audit firms handling consulting engagements for audit clients must implement stringent protocols to manage confidential information. Such protocols are vital to prevent any compromise of the integrity of the audit process due to the potential access to sensitive information. Segregating teams working on consulting and audit engagements is a key measure to ensure that individuals involved in consulting do not have undue influence on the audit process (Akinola et al., 2020; Farber, 2018). Additionally, restricting access to sensitive information and obtaining confidentiality agreements from personnel engaged in both consulting and audit roles are essential safeguards. These agreements reinforce the importance of maintaining confidentiality and avoiding any inappropriate use of privileged information in the audit process (Efuntade et al., 2020; Ghafran & Yasmin, 2018).

By clearly delineating responsibilities and information access for different teams, audit firms can minimize the risk of inadvertent breaches of confidentiality.

3. Professional Skepticism Training:

Regular training on professional skepticism is paramount to reinforce the importance of maintaining objectivity and questioning assumptions. Auditors engaged in consulting services should undergo ongoing training to cultivate and enhance their critical evaluation skills, especially when dealing with information obtained from consulting engagements (IFAC, 2020). Training programs should emphasize the need to remain vigilant for potential biases or conflicts of interest that may compromise the audit process (Mamidu & Akinola, 2020; Ghosh et al., 2020).

The International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) underscores the significance of professional skepticism in effective audit engagements (IFAC, 2020). Training initiatives should equip auditors with the tools and mindset necessary to navigate the dual roles of providing consulting services while ensuring a rigorous and impartial audit process. Ongoing education serves as a proactive measure to maintain high professional standards and ethical conduct (Davidson et al., 2018).

4. Transparency and Disclosure:

Transparency and disclosure are integral components of maintaining trust and confidence in the audit process when audit firms provide consulting services to audit clients. Firms should be transparent about the nature and scope of consulting services offered, disclosing any potential conflicts of interest to stakeholders (Davis & Ricchiute, 2010). This transparency ensures that stakeholders are informed about relationships that may impact auditor independence or objectivity. The AICPA emphasizes the importance of transparency in maintaining public trust and confidence in the accounting profession (AICPA, 2014). By providing clear information about the consulting services rendered, audit firms demonstrate accountability and commitment to ethical conduct. This disclosure can take the form of explicit statements in audit reports or separate communications to stakeholders, allowing them to make informed judgments about the reliability of the audit process (Gendron & Bedard, 2018). Establishing clear policies on independence, implementing confidentiality protocols, providing professional skepticism training, and ensuring transparency and disclosure are crucial measures for audit firms to navigate the ethical challenges associated with providing consulting services to audit clients. These proactive steps contribute to maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the audit function while fostering trust and confidence in financial reporting processes (Akinwunmi & Akinola, 2019; Goergen et al., 2017).

By implementing these measures, audit firms can navigate the ethical challenges associated with providing consulting services to audit clients effectively, preserving the integrity and credibility of the audit process while also delivering value-added services to clients.

One Theoretical Framework: Agency Theory

Agency Theory offers a comprehensive framework for analyzing ethical considerations within the auditing profession, especially when confronted with conflicts of interest and issues related to independence. According to this theory, the relationship between auditors and their clients can be

viewed as an agency relationship, where conflicts may arise due to the inherent asymmetry of information and differing interests between principals and agents (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Akinwunmi & Akinola, 2019; Efuntade et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2018).

In the context of auditing, the principals are often the company's management or shareholders, and the agents are the auditors engaged to independently assess and report on financial statements. The theory underscores the potential for conflicts of interest since auditors are compensated by the entities they are expected to objectively evaluate. This theoretical lens is crucial for understanding the dynamics that may impact auditors' decision-making and behavior.

Key Components of Agency Theory in Auditing:

1. **Information Asymmetry:** The foundation of Agency Theory lies in recognizing the information asymmetry between principals and agents (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In auditing, this translates to the reliance of principals on auditors for an unbiased evaluation, despite auditors having access to additional information. The theory alerts us to the potential challenges posed by this information imbalance (Mamidu & Akinola, 2019; Liu & Zhuang, 2021).
2. **Moral Hazard:** The theory addresses moral hazard by acknowledging that agents may have incentives to act in their own interest rather than in the best interest of principals (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In auditing, this manifests as auditors facing conflicting interests, especially when engaged in consulting services for the same client (Ogbeifun & Akinola, 2019; Lin et al., 2018).
3. **Monitoring and Controls:** Agency Theory suggests that effective monitoring mechanisms and controls are essential to mitigate conflicts of interest. Auditing practices can implement rotation policies, independence policies, and transparency measures to minimize risks associated with compromised independence (Fama & Jensen, 1983; Ogbeifun & Akinola, 2019).
4. **Contractual Agreements:** Well-defined contractual agreements are crucial to align the interests of the principal and agent. In auditing, this involves clearly outlining the scope of services, specifying permissible consulting services for audit clients, and establishing measures to ensure auditor independence (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Osho & Akinola, 2019).
5. **Fiduciary Duty:** Agency Theory emphasizes the fiduciary duty that agents owe to principals. Auditors, as agents, have a fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of their clients and the public by providing an unbiased assessment of financial statements (Fama & Jensen, 1983; Osho & Akinola, 2018). Any activities that may compromise this duty raise ethical concerns.

Application to Ethical Challenges:

Applying Agency Theory to the auditing profession provides insights into ethical challenges, particularly when auditors offer consulting services to their audit clients. This framework aids in identifying conflicts of interest, evaluating the impact of information asymmetry, and designing mechanisms to align auditors' interests with stakeholder expectations.

By applying Agency Theory, auditors and regulatory bodies can develop strategies and guidelines to mitigate conflicts, enhance independence, and ensure that auditors fulfill their fiduciary duty to provide an objective and unbiased assessment of financial information.

Implication of the Findings

Applying Agency Theory as a theoretical framework to ethical considerations in the auditing profession yields crucial insights with far-reaching implications. The findings emphasize the necessity for audit firms to fortify their independence policies, delineating clear boundaries on consulting services to preserve auditor objectivity. Stricter confidentiality protocols, including team segregation and confidentiality agreements, are essential to prevent the misuse of sensitive information. Continuous professional skepticism training reinforces a questioning mindset, enhancing the audit process's rigor and reducing the risk of oversights. Transparent disclosure practices regarding consulting services build stakeholder trust by keeping them informed of potential conflicts. Regulatory bodies, informed by these findings, can strengthen oversight to ensure adherence to ethical standards. Lastly, the dynamic nature of the profession necessitates continuous evolution of ethical guidelines to address emerging challenges, ensuring the resilience and integrity of the auditing profession in the face of evolving business environments. Together, these implications contribute to a culture of accountability, transparency, and ethical conduct, reinforcing the credibility of auditors and fostering trust in financial reporting processes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the application of Agency Theory to ethical considerations in the auditing profession provides a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics surrounding conflicts of interest, independence, and ethical conduct. The theoretical framework illuminates the challenges faced by auditors engaged in consulting services for the same clients, emphasizing the need for robust measures to preserve independence, confidentiality, and objectivity. The implications drawn from the findings underscore the importance of proactive steps, including strengthened independence policies, strict confidentiality protocols, continuous professional skepticism training, and transparent disclosure practices. Regulatory bodies play a crucial role in enforcing adherence to ethical standards and fostering a culture of accountability. As the auditing profession evolves, continuous adaptation of ethical guidelines remains imperative to address emerging challenges and maintain the integrity of financial reporting processes.

Recommendations:

1. **Enhance Independence Policies:** Audit firms should review and strengthen their independence policies, clearly defining the types of consulting services permissible for

audit clients. This involves setting stringent boundaries to mitigate familiarity threats and preserve auditor objectivity.

2. **Implement Stricter Confidentiality Protocols:** Audit firms should establish and enforce rigorous confidentiality protocols, including team segregation, restricted access to sensitive information, and obtaining confidentiality agreements. These measures are essential to prevent the misuse of privileged information obtained through consulting engagements.
3. **Prioritize Professional Skepticism Training:** Continuous training programs on professional skepticism should be prioritized for auditors engaged in consulting services. Emphasizing the importance of critical evaluation and vigilance helps maintain a questioning mindset, enhancing the thoroughness of the audit process.
4. **Ensure Transparent Disclosure Practices:** Audit firms must adopt transparent disclosure practices, communicating the nature and scope of consulting services to stakeholders. This transparency builds trust and confidence by keeping stakeholders informed of potential conflicts of interest that may impact auditor independence.
5. **Strengthen Regulatory Oversight:** Regulatory bodies should strengthen oversight mechanisms, setting clear expectations and enforcing compliance with ethical standards. This includes periodic audits of audit firms to ensure adherence to established guidelines and regulations.
6. **Continuous Evolution of Ethical Guidelines:** The auditing profession should engage in ongoing dialogue to adapt ethical guidelines to the evolving business landscape. Regular reviews and updates ensure that ethical considerations remain relevant and robust in addressing emerging challenges.

By implementing these recommendations, audit firms, auditors, and regulatory bodies can collectively contribute to maintaining the ethical integrity of the auditing profession. These proactive measures not only address current ethical challenges but also enhance the resilience of the profession in the face of future uncertainties, reinforcing trust in financial reporting processes and the role of auditors in ensuring transparency and accountability.

References

- AICPA. (2014). AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. Retrieved from <https://www.aicpa.org/content/dam/aicpa/research/codes-standards/code-of-conduct.pdf>
- Ajibola, I., Samuel, S.O & Akinola, A.O. (2024). Budgetary control and public sector financial management in Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 4 (4), 603-608.
- Akinola, A.O, Adegoke, K.O, Efuntade, O.O & Efuntade, A.O (2021). Investigating corporate performance of fast moving consumer goods companies before and after the adoption of IFRS in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 7(1), 11-25
- Akinola, A.O, Efuntade, O.O & Efuntade, A.O (2020). Banks financing and industrial sector performance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance and Risk Management*, 5 (3), 157-166.
- Akinola, A.O., Wahab, A.O & Dare, C.T. (2024). Investigating inventory management and profitability of listed consumer goods in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 10 (1), 98-109.
- Akinwunmi, A.A., & Akinola, A.O., (2019). Dividends policy and market value of shares in selected quoted deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 8(9), 1-11.
- Akinwunmi, A.A., & Akinola, A.O., (2019). Financial control and accountability in the public Sector in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 7 (7), 89-98.
- Awotomilusi, N.S., Akinadewo, I.S., Akinola, A.O., Oluwagbade, O.I., Olipede, D.E & Igbekoyi, O.E. (2024). Transfer pricing and financial performance of listed Multinational Manufacturing Companies in Nigeria. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, 19 (2), 165-191.
- Ayorinde, F.M, Samuel, S.O & Akinola, A.O. (2024). Management control systems and financial performance of non-profit organizations in Nigeria: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 10 (2), 102-117.
- Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., & Lapides, P. D. (2020). Fraudulent financial reporting consideration of industry traits and corporation governance mechanism. *Accounting Horizons*, 14, 14-21.
- Bedard, J., Chtourou, S.M., & Courteau, L. (2018).The effects of audit committee's expertise. Independence and activity on aggressive earnings management. *Auditing: A journal of Practice & Theory*, 23(2), 13-35.
- Carcello, J. V., & Neal, T. L. (2020).Audit committee composition and auditor reporting. *The Accounting Review*, 75, 453-467.
- Carcello, J. V., Hermansson, R. D., & Neal, T. L. (2019). Disclosure in audit committee charters and reports. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 28 (1), 241-261.
- Chadegani, A. A. (2021). Review of studies on audit quality. *International Journal of Humanities, Society and Culture*, 20(4), 37-48
- Dare, C.T., Akinola, A.O & Wahab, A.O. (2022). Government budgets and economic development in Nigeria: An empirical investigation. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research*, 8 (5), 123-135.
- Davidson, R., Xie, B., & Weibong, X. (2018). Market reaction to voluntary announcements of Audit committee appointments: The effects of financial expertise. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 23 (1), 218-243.
- Davis, L. R., & Ricchiute, D. N. (2010). Ethical Issues Facing the Accounting Profession. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 91(3), 387-404.

- Davis, L. R., & Ricchiute, D. N. (2010). Independence and Objectivity in Fact and Appearance: The Role of Consulting Services. *CPA Journal*, 80(10), 58-63.
- DeFond, M. L., & Zhang, J. (2018). A review of archival auditing research. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 66(2-3), 304-325.
- Deli, D. N., & Gillan, S. L. (2020). On the demand for independent and active audit committees. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 6 (2), 427-445.
- Efuntade, A.O & Akinola, A.O. (2020). Firm characteristics and financial performance in quoted manufacturing companies in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Finance Management Research*, 7, 25-32.
- Efuntade, A.O, Efuntade, O.O, & Akinola, A.O. (2020). Capital expenditure and impact of taxation on economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business Management and Technology*, 4 (5), 147-158.
- Efuntade, A.O, Efuntade, O.O, & Akinola, A.O. (2020). Tax revenue and its impact on government expenditure in Nigeria. *The International Journal of Business and Management*, 8 (9), 279-286
- Efuntade, A.O, Efuntade, O.O, & Akinola, A.O., (2019). Capital structure and earnings per shares in listed conglomerates in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 7 (8) 49-58
- Efuntade, A.O., Olaniyan, N.O., Efuntade, O.O., Solanke, F.T. & Akinola, A.O (2021). International financial reporting standards and financial information disclosure of listed deposit money banks in Nigerian exchange group. *European Journal of Accounting, Finance and Investment*, 7 (12), 35-45
- Efuntade, O.O., Efuntade, A.O., Solanke, F.T., Akinola, A.O. & Ajala, B.R. (2021). Public health expenditure and health system performance in Nigeria: Econometric investigation of life expectancy in relation to per capital income. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research*, 7 (4), 45- 54.
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26(2), 301-325.
- Farber, D. (2018). Restoring trust after fraud: Does corporate governance matter. *The Accounting Review*, 80 (2), 539-561.
- Gendron, Y., & Bedard, J. (2018). On the constituting of audit committee effectiveness. *Accounting Organizations and Society*. 31 (3), 211-239.
- Ghafran, C., & Yasmin, S. (2018). Audit committee chair and financial reporting timeliness: A focus on financial, experiential and monitoring expertise. *International Journal of Auditing*, 22(1), 13-24.
- Ghosh, A., Marra, A., & Moon, D. (2020). Corporate boards, audit committee and earnings management: Pre and post SOX evident. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 37 (9), 1145-1176.
- Goergen, S. Holt, H.O. & Beattie, V. (2017). Auditing, trust and governance: Regulation in Europe. Paper presented at the University of Aberdeen.
- Hundal, Y. (2021). Independence, expertise and experience of audit committee: Some aspects of Indian corporate sector. *American International Journal of Social Sciences*, 2 (5), 58-75.
- Hurt, R. K. (2010). The Relationship between the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct and Audit Quality. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 29(2), 195-221
- IFAC. (2020). International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 200: Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with International Standards on Auditing. Retrieved from <https://www.ifac.org/system/files/publications/files/ISA-200-2020.pdf>

- IFAC. (2020). International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 240: The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements. Retrieved from <https://www.ifac.org/system/files/publications/files/ISA-240-2020.pdf>
- Issaa, G., & Siamb, Y. A. (2020). Audit Committee Characteristics, Family Ownership, and Firm Performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Journal of Management*, 14 (4), 20-30.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
- Lim, C. Y. & Tan, H. T. (2018). Non audit service fees ad audit quality: The impact of auditor specialization. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(1), 199-246.
- Lin, J. W., Li, J. F., & Yang, J. S. (2018). The effect of the audit committee performance on earnings quality. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21 (9), 921-933.
- Liu, M. H. C., & Zhuang, Z. (2021). Management earnings forecasts and the quality of analysts' forecasts: The moderating effect of audit committees. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting Economic*, 7, 31-45.
- Loeb, S. E., & Shamrock, S. E. (2013). "Client Advocacy": A Threat to Auditor Independence. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 112(2), 189-204.
- Mamidu, A.I & Akinola, A.O., (2019). Cost management and corporate performance in quoted manufacturing companies in Nigeria. *The International Journal of Business Management and Technology*, 3(5), 79-85.
- Mamidu, A.I & Akinola, A.O., (2020). The Nigerian public sector: Matters arising on financial management and auditing procedure. *International Journal of Empirical Finance and Management Sciences*, 2 (2), 38-48.
- Mintz, S. M., & Morris, R. (2011). *Ethical Obligations and Decision Making in Accounting: Text and Cases*. McGraw-Hill Education
- Ogbeifun, I. E. & Akinola, A. O., (2018). A comparative study of asset-liability framework in the banking industry in Nigeria. *Institute for Governance and Development (IGD)*, 5, 98-103.
- Ogbeifun, I.E & Akinola, A.O (2019). Dividends policy and corporate performance in selected quoted deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Recent Research in Commerce, Economics and Management*, 6 (4), 205-214.
- Ogbeifun, I.E & Akinola, A.O (2019). Impact of qualitative monetary policy on performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria, *International Journal of Development Research*, 9 (7), 28833-28840.
- Olorunsola, F.F., Samuel, S.O & Akinola, A.O. (2024). Examining the effect of fraud practices on the stability of deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research*, 10 (4), 175-186.
- Osho, A. E. & Akinola, A. O. (2018). Usefulness of accounting theory and practice on large scale organizations in Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*, 14(31), 303-319.
- Osho, A.E & Akinola, A.O. (2019). Effect of sustainability accounting on universities financial performance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 8(8), 116-124.
- Tabash, M. I., Akinola, A. O. & Abousamak, A. (2021). Corporate governance and financial performance of quoted deposit money banks in Nigeria: An empirical investigation. *African Journal of Business & Economic Research*, 16 (3), 1-12.
- Wahab, A.O, Akinola, A.O & Dare, C.T. (2022). Corporate attributes and financial performance of listed agricultural and agro-allied companies in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting Research*, 7 (2), 103-111.